Seasonal variation of soil aggregate stability, porosity and infiltration during a crop sequence under no tillage Variación estacional de la estabilidad de agregados, porosidad e infiltración en el transcurso de una secuencia de cultivos bajo siembra directa

Mario Guillermo Castiglioni^{1‡}, María Carolina Sasal², Marcelo Wilson² and José Daniel Oszust³

¹ Facultad de Agronomía, Universidad de Buenos Aires. Av. San Martin 4453 - C1417DSE. Buenos Aires, Argentina.

* Autor responsable (castigli@agro.uba.ar)

² Estación Experimental INTA Paraná. Ruta 11 km 12.5. 3101 Oro Verde, Entre Ríos, Argentina.

³ Facultad de Ciencias Agropecuarias. Universidad Nacional de Entre Ríos. Ruta Pcial. No. 11 km 10.5. 3100 Oro Verde, Entre Ríos, Argentina.

SUMMARY

Soil properties vary over time with soils presenting different susceptibility to runoff and erosion during the year. Under no-tillage cropping, soil physical properties could change mainly due to the climatic factor effect, crop type and wheel traffic. The aim of this study was to analyze the seasonal variation of selected soil physical properties of an Aquic Argiudoll under no-tillage, focusing on their relationship with water partitioning between infiltration and runoff during a typical crop sequence of the Pampean region (Argentina). The study was carried out in an agricultural field located at the Paraná Experimental Station of the Instituto Nacional de Tecnología Agropecuaria (INTA) in Entre Ríos province (Argentina). Soil samples were taken at six different times during the crop rotation cycle. Soil water content, bulk density, pore size distribution, structural stability and water infiltration obtained with a rainfall simulator were determined on each sampling date. To relate the behavior of these soil properties with the previous weather conditions, we considered different climatic variables. Our results showed that there was no influence on soil physical properties of the crop type or the wetting and drying soil cycles. However, in this high silt content Argiudoll with a moderate to high contraction and expansion capacity, it was found that soil macroporosity was higher in drier soils, reducing the runoff coefficient. Furthermore, soil

Cita recomendada:

structural stability also affected the infiltration capacity of this soil during some periods, resulting in a better structure during periods of higher temperature. We conclude that the critical period for this Argiudoll to generate runoff would be mid-autumn to early spring, when the soil water content is higher, temperatures are low, and therefore macroporosity, soil structural stability and infiltration rates have worse values. In this sense, winter cover crops is a management practice that will favor the soil drying and coverage, reducing runoff risk during this period.

Index words: soil physical properties, temporal variation, rainfall simulator.

RESUMEN

Las propiedades edáficas varían en el tiempo, presentando los suelos durante el año diferente susceptibilidad al escurrimiento y la erosión. En siembra directa, las propiedades físicas edáficas pueden variar fundamentalmente debido a efectos climáticos, del cultivo o por el tránsito de la maquinaria. El objetivo del presente trabajo fue analizar la variación estacional de algunas propiedades físicas edáficas en un Argiudol ácuico bajo siembra directa, determinando su relación con la infiltración y el escurrimiento durante una secuencia de cultivos característica de la Región Pampeana (Argentina). El estudio se desarrolló

Castiglioni, M. G., M. C. Sasal, M. Wilson and J. D. Oszust. 2018. Seasonal variation of soil aggregate stability, porosity and infiltration during a crop sequence under no tillage. Terra Latinoamericana 36: 199-209. DOI: https://doi.org/10.28940/terra.v36i3.333

Recibido: agosto del 2017. Aceptado: abril de 2018. Publicado en Terra Latinoamericana 36: 199-209.

en un lote perteneciente a la Estación Experimental Agropecuaria Paraná del Instituto Nacional de Tecnología Agropecuaria (INTA), ubicado en la provincia de Entre Ríos (Argentina). Se obtuvieron muestras de suelo en seis fechas diferentes durante la secuencia de cultivos. Se determinó en cada una de ellas las siguientes propiedades: contenido de agua, densidad aparente, distribución de poros, estabilidad estructural y la infiltración de agua medida con un simulador de lluvias. Para relacionar el comportamiento de estas propiedades con las condiciones climáticas previas, se consideraron distintos parámetros climáticos. No hubo influencia de la especie cultivada y de los ciclos de humedecimiento y secado del suelo sobre las propiedades físicas evaluadas. Sin embargo, este Argiudol con un alto contenido de limo y con una capacidad de contracción-expansión entre moderada y alta, mostró una mayor macroporosidad con suelo seco, disminuyendo el coeficiente de escurrimiento. La capacidad de infiltración estuvo también afectada por la estabilidad estructural, siendo esta más favorable en períodos de mayor temperatura. Se concluye que el momento crítico de este Argiudol para la producción de escurrimiento sería desde la mitad de otoño hasta el comienzo de la primavera, cuando el suelo tiene mayor humedad y hay bajas temperaturas, con consecuencias negativas sobre la macroporosidad, estabilidad estructural e infiltración. En tal sentido, la implementación de cultivos de cobertura durante el invierno, es una práctica de manejo que favorecerá el desecamiento y la cobertura del suelo, disminuyendo en dicho período el riesgo de escurrimiento.

Palabras clave: propiedades físicas edáficas, variación temporal, simulador de lluvia.

INTRODUCTION

The most frequently cropped soils of the Pampean region of Argentina are Mollisols developed on deep eolian sediments under grassland, with prevailing udic and thermic soil water content and temperature regimes. In Entre Ríos province (Argentina), the most representative Mollisol is Aquic Argiudoll (Soil Survey Staff, 2010) with a silty loam A horizon and signs of hydromorphism in the textural B horizon. The main soilrelated constraint to cropping is the soil susceptibility to water erosion, with almost 50% of the area being affected. Thus, it is very important when analyzing soil structural conditions, to include soil porosity, pore size distribution, structural stability measurements, and their effects on infiltration rate and runoff.

Many studies indicate that these properties change over time (Strudley *et al.*, 2008; Schwen *et al.*, 2011), and have been observed at different time scales: a few hours, seasonally and annually (Bodner *et al.*, 2008).

The dynamics of soil physical properties is affected by growth of plant roots, activity of soil organisms, rainfall and other climatic variables, but mainly by tillage and soil compaction caused by wheel traffic (Fuentes *et al.*, 2004; Bormann and Klaassen, 2008). Studies on the effect of crop rotation and tillage on soil physical properties have found no influence of crop type but an important effect of tillage (Chang and Lindwall, 1992; Capowiez *et al.*, 2009). As a result, non-agricultural soils and no-till systems do not undergo these cyclic changes.

Plant canopy and mulch cover in crop rotations affect the structural porosity of the soil by protecting soil aggregates from the effect of heavy rains (Blanco-Canqui *et al.*, 2006). Crop roots also modify the properties of the porous system by generating new pores, clogging existing ones, favoring drying and local compaction of the soil, and inducing hydrophobicity on pore walls (Bodner *et al.*, 2013a). Some studies have shown a beneficial effect of crops on aggregate stability and soil infiltration (Kabir and Koide, 2002; Liu *et al.*, 2005), whereas others have shown a reduction in hydraulic conductivity caused by a decrease in fissure formation on the soil surface due to soil cover (Carof *et al.*, 2005).

The number and duration of wetting and drying cycles have been also identified as key processes of aggregate formation and strength (Bodner *et al.*, 2013b). Successive soil wetting and drying cycles lead a massive soil structure to evolve into a structure with a large number of large interconnected pores (Pires *et al.*, 2009). Increases in macro-, meso- and micro-porosity were found as a result of wetting and drying soil cycles (Bodner *et al.*, 2013b). By contrast, after successive wetting-drying cycles a decrease in macroporosity (Phogat and Aylmore, 1989) and lower infiltration rates (Sarmah *et al.*, 1996) were observed, probably due to breakage and collapse of soil aggregates.

Wetting and drying cycles can affect soil structure either directly through physical and chemical processes or indirectly via their effect on microbial activity (Cosentino *et al.*, 2006). In this sense, capillary forces

201

enhance soil aggregation with drying, while rewetting may increase structural instability (Bodner *et al.*, 2013a). However, effects of wetting and drying on soil structure are still unclear because this process has been shown both to strengthen and weaken structural stability (Denef *et al.*, 2001).

In addition, soil water content also influences soil properties. An increase was found in the proportion of macropores resulting from the formation of cracks and microcracks during soil drying (Seguel and Horn, 2006). Vertisol and vertic intergrades increased in macroporosity with soil drying, resulting in a higher infiltration rate as soil moisture decreased (Lin et al., 1998). Similar behavior of various USA soil series under different land use systems were reported (Zhou et al., 2008). However, a positive correlation between infiltration and soil moisture was also found (Fuentes et al., 2004) since, when soil was moistened, pores expanded due to high soil carbon content, leading to an increase in their infiltration rate. At the same time, soil structural stability can be affected by soil water content (Perfect et al., 1990; Chan et al., 1994). In this sense, a negative relationship between these two soil characteristics has been determined.

Soils with high silt content have low sensitivity to changes in volume after soil wetting and drying. This behavior would determine that abiotic factors that affect the structure and pore system of the surface horizon of these soils are not important (Taboada *et al.*, 2008). However, it has been shown that structural regeneration of silty soils is a product of both abiotic and biotic factors (Denef *et al.*, 2001). In this sense, a positive response in soil porosity on the surface horizon of a Pampean grassland silty loam Typic Argiudoll (Soil Survey Staff, 2010) after a succession of wettingdrying cycles was observed (Sasal, 2012)¹.

Cropping in the Pampean region (Argentina) is currently carried out under no-till systems (Derpsch *et al.*, 2010). Therefore, temporal changes in soil physical properties are mainly determined by the effect of climatic conditions, crop type and wheel traffic. Given the susceptibility to erosion of soils present in the northern area of this region (Hall *et al.*, 1992) and particularly in Entre Rios province (Argentina), it is important to identify the factors that regulate the temporal variation of soil properties associated with infiltration and runoff. The aim of this study was to analyze the seasonal variation of selected soil physical properties of an Aquic Argiudoll under no-tillage (NT), focusing on their relationship with water partitioning between infiltration and runoff during a typical crop sequence of the Pampean region (Argentina).

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Study Site

The study was carried out in an agricultural field located at the Paraná Experimental Station of the Instituto Nacional de Tecnología Agropecuaria (INTA) in Entre Ríos province (Argentina) (31° 51' S and 60° 31' W) (Figure 1). The region has a humid and temperate climate, with 1000 mm mean annual rainfall and mean annual temperature of 18.3 °C. Winter temperatures rarely fall below 0 °C. The soil is a fine, illitic, thermic Aquic Argiudoll (Soil Survey Staff, 2010) belonging to the Tezanos Pinto Series, with a silty clay loam A horizon (0-17 cm) (45 g kg⁻¹sand, 679 g kg⁻¹ silt and 276 g kg⁻¹ clay) and a silty clay B21t horizon (17-34 cm) (39 g kg⁻¹sand, 546 g kg⁻¹ silt and 415 g kg⁻¹ clay) (Plan Mapa de Suelos, 1998). Soil surface organic carbon content is 2% in the 0-5 cm layer, and 1.29% at 5-10 cm depth (Castiglioni et al., 2014). The A horizon exhibits a coefficient of linear extensibility (COLE) of 0.06, indicating a moderate to high sensitivity to volume change with changes in soil water content (Soil Survey Staff, 1997). The study area (0.5 ha) is located on a 4% hillside slope within a 13-ha plot (Figure 1). During the last 15 years, cropping consisted of a corn-soybean-wheat/soybean double crop rotation under no-till and in rows perpendicular to the slope to prevent soil water erosion. During the study period (2 years) crop rotation was a double-crop wheat/soybean in the first year followed by corn in the second year (Table 1).

Soil Sampling and Measurements

Soil samples were taken at six different times during the crop rotation cycle (Table 1) to evaluate the seasonal effects on soil properties. On each sampling date, three replicates of soil surface samples (0-5 cm depth) were taken at random within the sampling area

¹ Sasal, C. 2012. Factores condicionantes de la evolución estructural de suelos limosos bajo siembra directa. Efecto sobre el balance de agua. Tesis de Doctorado. Escuela para Graduados. FAUBA. Buenos Aires, Argentina.



Figure 1. Location of the study area (in gray) within the agricultural plot (contour map).

(0.5 ha) and the following variables determined: soil water content (SWC), bulk density (BD), pore size distribution and structural stability. At these times (Table 1), water infiltration and runoff were determined in the field with a rainfall simulator.

Soil Water Content, Bulk Density and Pore Size Distribution

Soil water content (SWC) was measured on a mass basis (Gardner, 1986), bulk density (BD) was evaluated by the core method (Blake and Hartge, 1986) on undisturbed soil samples taken with 0.05 m

 Table 1. Soil sampling dates, crop type and crop development

 stage during the study period.

| Date | Period | Crop | Crop stage |
|------------|--------|---------|-----------------|
| 01/07/2010 | F1 | Wheat | Emergence |
| 13/10/2010 | F2 | Wheat | Flowering |
| 09/12/2010 | F3 | Fallow | Postharvest |
| 09/03/2011 | F4 | Soybean | Small beans |
| 04/08/2011 | F5 | Fallow | Postharvest |
| 13/12/2011 | F6 | Corn | Vegetative (V6) |

long and 0.05 m diameter cylinder cores, and pore size distribution was estimated with undisturbed soil cores of 0.05 m long and 0.05 m in diameter. In the latter case, the soil was saturated slowly under vacuum conditions over 24 hours to minimize structural breakdown, and subsequently brought to -6 kPa matric potential using a suction table and to -33 kPa matric potential by means of pressure plates (Klute, 1986). Soil water retention was expressed in terms of volumetric water content using bulk density for the conversion. Based on the assumption that the soil pore space consists of capillary tubes, the pore effective diameter that corresponds to an applied tension was calculated according to the Young-Laplace equation (Tuller et al., 1999). Soil pores were classified as mesopores, i.e. those with an effective diameter between 10 and 50 µm, and macropores, i.e. those with an effective diameter greater than 50 µm.

Soil Structural Stability

Structural stability was analyzed on disturbed soil samples (De Leenheer and De Boodt, 1958). Aggregates smaller than 8 mm were dry-sieved by hand with a nest of three sieves with mesh apertures of 4.8, 3 and 2 mm. The mass fraction remaining on each sieve was multiplied by the mean mesh aperture of the adjacent sieves. Each of these values was added to calculate the mean weight diameter of aggregates between 8 and 2 mm (MWDD). Then, a proportional mass of aggregates of each class (8-4.8, 4.8-3 and 3-2 mm) similar to that obtained in the dry sieving were moistened by dripping to field capacity, followed by 24 h incubation. After that, each category of aggregates was placed on the corresponding sieve within a sieve column with the following mesh apertures: 4.8, 3, 2, 1, 0.5 and 0.25 mm. Aggregates were then submerged in water and sieved for 5 min. After drying at 105 °C the soil remaining on each sieve was weighed. The mass fraction remaining on each sieve after sieving multiplied by the mean mesh aperture of the adjacent sieves was added to calculate the mean weighted diameter of wet-sieved aggregates smaller than 8 mm (MWDW). The change in aggregates mean weighted diameter (CMWD) was calculated as the difference between MWDD and MWDW. This was considered an indicator of soil structural stability. Thus, the greater the CMWD values, the lower the soil structural stability.

Water Infiltration and Runoff

To separate the effect of a given soil physical condition on infiltration related to the sum of crop coverage and soil physical condition, rain simulations were carried out on bare and covered soil (crop canopy + stubble), using a rainfall simulator over a 0.25 m² plot (Irurtia and Mon, 1994). Bare soil was obtained by manually removing the stubble from the soil surface, and as the crop grew, aerial biomass was removed by cutting the stems with scissors. At each sampling time during the crop rotation (Table 1), three replicates were carried out in the same field (three in bare and three in covered soil) where the samples for the other variables had been collected. The rainfall simulator used was 1.5 m high, having a drop former that produced droplets of an average 4.7 mm diameter and a falling rate of 5.04 m s⁻¹. Rain simulations lasted an hour with constant intensity of 60 mm h⁻¹. The infiltration rate, defined as the difference in millimeters between rainfall and runoff generated, was calculated every 5 min. The runoff coefficient (RC) was calculated taking into account total water runoff and amount of rainfall during the 1-h-long rain simulation. We also determined the equilibrium infiltration rate (EIR), averaging the last three infiltration rates calculated before rain simulation ended

Weather Parameters

To relate soil variables to weather conditions, we considered total rain fallen 5, 10 and 15 days prior to each sampling date (R5, R10, R15) and the average mean daily temperature of the 5, 10, 15 and 30 days

prior each sampling date (T5, T10, T15, T30), recorded at a conventional weather station located 500 m from the study area (Agrometeorological Observatory INTA – EEA Paraná, Argentina).

Statistical Methods

We used analysis of variance (ANOVA) to compare the six sampling dates with three replications taken at random, to determine the seasonal effects on soil physical properties. When effects were significant at a level of 0.05, means were tested with the Tukey test. Pearson's correlation analysis was performed between soil moisture content and mean weighted diameter of soil aggregates, determined on each sampling date, with weather parameters. Also, a correlation analysis was carried out between the runoff coefficient and the equilibrium infiltration rate with weather and soil variables. To perform a multiple linear regression analysis, we selected those significant variables that presented high correlation coefficients. At the same time, the step wise method was used to select the variables that made up the most representative multiple linear regression model. INFOSTAT software (Di Rienzo et al., 2015) was used.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

In December and March (periods F3, F4 and F6), SWC was lower than the permanent wilting point (0.17 g g^{-1}) . It was close to field capacity (0.27 g g^{-1}) in F1, between field capacity and permanent wilting point in F2, and above field capacity in F5 (Table 2).

Among the variables related to the previous rains,

| Date | Period | SWC | CV | R5 | R10 | R15 | Т5 | T10 | T15 | Т30 |
|------------|--------|-------------------|----|------|--------|------|------|------|------|------|
| | | g g ⁻¹ | % | | mm - · | | | °(| С | |
| 01/07/2010 | F1 | 0.27 | 6 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 11.0 | 10.0 | 11.1 | 11.4 | 12.2 |
| 13/10/2010 | F2 | 0.22 | 5 | 0.0 | 4.4 | 12.5 | 17.3 | 17.4 | 15.7 | 16.1 |
| 09/12/2010 | F3 | 0.10 | 9 | 0.0 | 6.0 | 15.2 | 24.3 | 23.6 | 23.6 | 21.8 |
| 09/03/2011 | F4 | 0.11 | 5 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 22.0 | 24.9 | 24.3 | 23.8 | 24.0 |
| 04/08/2011 | F5 | 0.36 | 7 | 21.7 | 32.4 | 10.7 | 7.1 | 10.7 | 10.6 | 12.0 |
| 13/12/2011 | F6 | 0.11 | 9 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 10.1 | 25.0 | 24.7 | 23.7 | 23.4 |

Table 2. Values of soil water content, rain fallen in the 5, 10 and 15 days prior to each sampling date and mean daily temperatures of the 5, 10, 15 and 30 days prior to each sampling date.

SWC = soil water content prior to rainfall simulations. R5, R10, R15 = rain fallen during the 5, 10 and 15 days prior to each sampling date, respectively. T5, T10, T15, T30 = average daily mean temperature of the 5, 10, 15 and 30 days prior to each sampling date, respectively. CV = coefficient of variation.

only the rainfall during the 5 days prior to sampling was correlated with soil water content (Table 3). By contrast, all correlations between SWC and mean daily temperature for up to 30 days before sampling were statistically significant (Table 3).

A multiple linear regression that fitted the relationship between SWC and R5 + T30 was established: ($R^2 = 0.98$; mean quadratic error: 0.00038). Using this function and weather information, we estimated soil moisture dynamics during the study period (Figure 2).

From roughly mid-fall to early spring there were lower temperatures and scarce rainfall, and soils were more humid although with some fluctuations in water content. From early spring to mid-fall, on the other hand, temperatures were higher and precipitation and SWC fluctuations were greater, as shown by the soil moisture coefficient of variation calculated in each period: >40% in early spring to mid-fall vs. <20% in mid-autumn to early spring (Figure 2).

Table 4 shows the mean value of soil variables associated with structure and porosity. Of these, only volume occupied by pores with effective diameters between 10 and 50 μ m exhibited significant differences between sampling dates (Table 4), despite differences in climatic conditions (Figure 2), different evolution of soil moisture (Figure 2), diverse crops in the rotation

Table 3. Correlation coefficients between soil water content and change in mean weighted diameter of soil aggregates with rain fallen during the 5, 10 and 15 days prior to each sampling date and mean daily temperatures of the 5, 10, 15 and 30 days prior to each sampling date.

| | SWC | CMWD |
|-----|---------|---------|
| SWC | 1.00** | 0.77ns |
| R5 | 0.80* | 0.62ns |
| R10 | 0.76ns | 0.58ns |
| R15 | -0.52ns | -0.73ns |
| Т5 | -0.98** | -0.84* |
| T10 | -0.96** | -0.84* |
| T15 | -0.97** | -0.80ns |
| Т30 | -0.95** | -0.83* |

*(P < 0.05), **(P < 0.01), ns = non-significant differences. SWC = soil water content prior to rainfall simulations. CMWD = change in the mean weighted diameter of soil aggregates. R5, R10, R15 = rain fallen during the 5, 10 and 15 days prior to each sampling date, respectively. T5, T10, T15, T30 = average daily mean temperature of the 5, 10, 15 and 30 days prior to each sampling date, respectively.



Figure 2. Measured and estimated soil water content (SWC), rainfall, mean air temperature and cropping season of the different crops.

(Table 1, Figure 2) and measurements carried out at different crop development stages (Table 1). The lowest values (P < 0.05) were in March 2011 and the highest in October 2010 (P < 0.05). These differences did not significantly correlate with the other soil variables studied. However, the relationship between volume occupied by pores >50µm and SWC could be fitted with a linear function (R²: 0.75; mean quadratic error: 0.00028) indicating that SWC influenced macropore volume, which decreased when the soil was wetter.

Structural porosity is a highly dynamic property that varies on different time scales in response to crop rotation, tillage, soil wetting and drying cycles, rainfall intensity and soil compaction caused by heavy machinery (Bodner et al., 2013a). In this sense the increase in intensity of soil wetting and drying cycles favors an increase in the hydraulic parameters related to macroporosity (Bodner et al., 2013a). Other studies (Bruand and Prost, 1987; Seguel and Horn, 2006) showed an increase in the proportion of macropores during soil drying, as a result of the formation of cracks and microcracks. We were not able to show that soil wetting and drying cycles and/or the different crops present during the rotation influenced the volume occupied by pores $>50 \mu m$. However, differences in SWC at the time of sampling explained 75% of the temporal variation in this variable. This highlights the great expansion capacity of these soils, despite their high silt content.

On the other hand, and although we were unable to detect significant differences between the CMWD values in the six sampling dates, this soil property was significantly associated with the average mean daily

| Date | Period | >50 µm | CV | 10 - 50 μm | CV | BD | CV | CMWD | CV |
|------------|--------|----------------------------------|----|----------------------------------|----|--------------------|----|--------|----|
| | | cm ³ cm ⁻³ | % | cm ³ cm ⁻³ | % | g cm ⁻³ | % | mm | % |
| 01/07/2010 | F1 | 0.15 a | 40 | 0.15 ab | 17 | 1.33 a | 12 | 0.65 a | 32 |
| 13/10/2010 | F2 | 0.17 a | 64 | 0.16 a | 35 | 1.29 a | 17 | 0.53 a | 12 |
| 09/12/2010 | F3 | 0.20 a | 33 | 0.11 bc | 18 | 1.17 a | 12 | 0.56 a | 15 |
| 09/03/2011 | F4 | 0.18 a | 21 | 0.11 c | 15 | 1.38 a | 5 | 0.47 a | 23 |
| 04/08/2011 | F5 | 0.13 a | 34 | 0.13 abc | 19 | 1.35 a | 11 | 0.64 a | 13 |
| 13/12/2011 | F6 | 0.16 a | 41 | 0.13 abc | 36 | 1.34 a | 16 | 0.54 a | 18 |
| | | | | | | | | | |

Table 4. Soil physical properties determined at different times of the rotation cycle.

Different lowercase letters within a column indicate significant differences (P < 0.05). >50 µm = volume occupied by pores with effective diameter larger than 50 µm. 10-50 µm = volume occupied by pores with effective diameter between 10 and 50 µm. BD = bulk density. CMWD = change in the mean weighted diameter of soil aggregates. CV = coefficient of variation.

temperature of the 5, 10 and 30 day-periods before each sampling date (T5, T10, T30) (Table 3). However, CMWD values were not correlated with rainfall of the 5, 10 and 15 days prior to each sampling date (R5, R10, R15) (Table 3). A positive linear regression (R²: 60; mean quadratic error: 0.0024) fitted the relationship between SWC and CMWD, with higher CMWD values (less structural stability) with wetter soil. The worst CMWD values (F1 and F5) coincided with low average temperatures (<13 °C) during the days prior to sampling and with a soil water content >0.26 g g⁻¹. By contrast, the best CMWD values (F2, F3, F4 and F6) occurred with mean temperatures higher than 16 °C and soil moisture lower than 0.22 g g⁻¹ during the previous days (Table 4, Figure 2).

The aggregation state is a function of the balance between the formation and degradation processes that determine soil structure, and it varies during the crop growing season (Chan *et al.*, 1994). The trend found in our results agree with those reported by Cosentino *et al.* (2006), who found that soil structural stability exhibits the worst values in winter and the best in spring, and that this behavior is most strongly influenced by factors associated with climate and those dependent on carbon content of the soil.

Oscillations in estimated soil water content (Figure 2) and behavior of CMWD (Table 4) indicate that soil wetting and drying cycles did not affect aggregate stability. In this regard, the F3 and F6 periods, which exhibited equal initial soil moisture and similar previous-days temperature, had very similar CMWD values, in spite of the fact that prior to the F3 sampling, the intensity of changes in estimated soil moisture was less than that determined before F6 sampling.

In addition, we found no significant effect of crop type or crop development stage on CMWD, as different crops at different development stages (Table 1) had similar CMWD values across sampling dates (F1 and F5 on the one hand and F2, F3 and F6 on the other) (Table 4). Similarly, no effect of the crop on the structural stability in soils under NT was found by other authors (Chan *et al.*, 1994), linking this response to the high soil structural stability obtained under NT. We also found high structural stability values for the surface soil studied, so we may assume that in our study area crop type had no effect on this variable.

The runoff coefficient (RC) and the equilibrium infiltration rate (EIR) showed significant differences between some of the studied periods (Table 5). These differences cannot be explained by different crop type or crop development stage, as no significant differences were found in RC or EIR values in covered soil between F3, F4 and F6, between F1 and F2, and between F1 and F5. In this sense, values recorded in F3, F4 and F6 corresponded to several crops (fallow, soybean, corn). For F1 vs. F2, wheat was at different phenological stages and in F1 there was a growing crop while F5 was a fallow period (Table 1).

Under covered soil, the EIR was closely linked to initial soil moisture content (Tables 2 and 5). Thus, in the wettest periods (F1 and F5) (Figure 2) EIR was lower (P < 0.05) (Table 5) than in the driest periods (F3, F4 and F6). The EIR in F2, with intermediate initial soil moisture content (Table 2), was only statistically different from that in F5, a consequence of the difference in initial soil moisture between the two sampling dates (Table 2).

| Date Period | Covered soil | | | | Bare soil | | | | |
|-------------|--------------|---------|-----|--------------------|-----------|---------|----|--------------------|----|
| Dute | | | CV | EIR | CV | RC | CV | EIR | CV |
| | | % |) | mm h ⁻¹ | % | % |) | mm h ⁻¹ | % |
| 01/07/2010 | F1 | 46.0 ab | 53 | 18.9 bc | 92 | 56.0 a | 16 | 8.6 e | 64 |
| 13/10/2010 | F2 | 10.7 bc | 173 | 46.2 ab | 47 | 26.7 b | 67 | 25.6 cd | 46 |
| 09/12/2010 | F3 | 0.0 c | 0 | 60.0 a | 0 | 0.0 c | 0 | 60.0 a | 9 |
| 09/03/2011 | F4 | 7.0 c | 38 | 52.8 a | 3 | 13.5 bc | 4 | 43.7 b | 14 |
| 04/08/2011 | F5 | 70.0 a | 11 | 7.5 c | 75 | 62.8 a | 7 | 11.1 de | 40 |
| 13/12/2011 | F6 | 2.6 c | 105 | 53.3 a | 7 | 15.0 bc | 49 | 35.7 bc | 13 |

Table 5. Runoff coefficient (RC) and equilibrium infiltration rate (EIR) values in covered and bare soil for the different periods studied.

Different lowercase letters within a column indicate significant differences (P < 0.05). CV = coefficient of variation.

In bare soil, with no influence of canopy or stubble, the general trend in EIR and RC values was similar to that observed in covered soil (Table 5). However, EIR in F3 was higher than in F4 (P < 0.05) and in F2 was equal to the one in F5, but higher than in F1 (P < 0.05). The results of these first two comparisons were not conditioned by initial soil moisture content (Table 2).

RC and EIR were always associated with SWC, T5, T10, T30 and >50 μ m pore size in both bare and covered soil (Table 6). CMWD also influenced EIR and RC but only in covered soil (Table 6). In addition, those

Table 6. Correlation coefficients between runoff coefficient (RC) and equilibrium infiltration rate (EIR) with other weather and soil variables.

| | Cover | ed soil | Bare soil | | | |
|--------|---------|---------|-----------|---------|--|--|
| | RC | EIR | RC | EIR | | |
| SWC | 0.95** | -0.96** | 0.96** | -0.88* | | |
| R5 | 0.86* | -0.80ns | 0.72ns | -0.55ns | | |
| R10 | 0.78ns | -0.71ns | 0.62ns | -0.43ns | | |
| R15 | -0.44ns | 0.49ns | -0.52ns | 0.58ns | | |
| Т5 | -0.95** | 0.97** | -0.97** | 0.89* | | |
| T10 | -0.92* | 0.95** | -0.95** | 0.89* | | |
| T30 | -0.87* | 0.90* | -0.91* | 0.86* | | |
| >50 µm | -0.90* | 0.93** | -0.94** | 0.92** | | |
| CMWD | 0.82* | -0.83* | 0.80ns | -0.69ns | | |

*(P < 0.05),**(P < 0.01), ns = non-significant. SWC = soil water content before rainfall simulations. R5, R10, R15 = rain fallen during the 5, 10 and 15 days prior to each sampling date, respectively. T5, T10, T15, T30 = average daily mean temperature of the 5, 10, 15 and 30 days prior to each sampling date, respectively. >50 µm = volume occupied by pores of effective diameter larger than 50 µm. CMWD = change in the mean weighted diameter of soil aggregates.

variables were generally not correlated with the amount of rainfall prior to the infiltration tests (Table 6). When the effect of climatic conditions of the days prior to sampling on soil moisture was analyzed, it was found that the effect of temperature proved more important than that of rainfall (Table 3). The different response of SWC to those two climatic variables was because half the samples were taken in soil with very low moisture content due to the absence of rain in the 5 days prior to sampling, and so SWC was mainly regulated by mean temperatures.

As mentioned above, SWC affected the volume occupied by macropores, thus influencing the EIR (Figures 3 and 4). This agrees with results found by some authors (Lin *et al.*, 1998; Zhou *et al.*, 2008) but not by others (Fuentes *et al.*, 2004). Due to the moderate to high COLE of the surface horizon of the Argiudoll we studied, a low SWC determined an increase in



Figure 3. Relationship between soil water content (SWC) and equilibrium infiltration rate (EIR) for covered and bare soil. * and ** significant at, respectively, 5 and 1%, by the "t" test.



Figure 4. Relationship between volume occupied by macropores (> 50 μ m) and equilibrium infiltration rate (EIR) for covered and bare soil. * and ** significant at, respectively, 5 and 1%, by the "t" test.

macroporosity in the dry soil and thus a greater EIR, and had the opposite effect when SWC increased.

However, despite the expansion capacity of this Argiudoll, the different intensity of the wetting and drying cycles experienced by the soil before some of the sampling dates (Figure 2) did not influence EIR values. For example, under covered soil, F3 and F4 which had similar initial soil moisture but differences in wetting and drying cycle intensity (Figure 2) had equal EIR (Table 5).

In five of the six sampling dates, both in covered and bare soils, the EIR/CMWD relationship had a significant correlation coefficient (data not shown). Thus, excluding the results for post-harvest period of wheat (F3) and regardless of the degree of soil cover, EIR was high due to a more stable soil structure (Figure 5). In F3 both EIR (in covered and bare soils) were higher than those corresponding to the EIR/CMWD relationships of Figure 5. This was probably because, in this case, the dry soil condition had a stronger effect on EIR than CMWD.

Soil cover affected the relationship between CMWD and EIR. For a given structural stability, the EIR was lower in bare soil than in covered soil but R^2 was higher (Figure 5).

Since there are numerous factors that regulate soil water infiltration, the seasonal pattern of this property is sometimes difficult to establish. However, situations in which one of these factors is dominant allows establishing a temporal pattern of behavior (Fuentes *et al.*, 2004). In our study, seasonal patterns for EIR



Figure 5. Relationship between the change in the mean weighted diameter of soil aggregates (CMWD) and equilibrium infiltration rate (EIR) for covered and bare soil. * and ** significant at, respectively, 5 and 1%, by the "t" test.

and RC were determined primarily by differences in SWC (Figure 3), which regulated soil macroporosity. However, aggregate stability had an additional effect, which was evident in the results of some of the sampling dates (Figure 5).

CONCLUSIONS

In the Aquic Argiudoll of this area of the Pampean region (Argentina) under a no-till wheat/soybeancorn rotation, no effect of crop type on soil properties associated with infiltration and runoff were found. Nor was it possible to show that fluctuations in soil moisture influenced the behavior of the studied soil properties. However, in this high silt Argiudoll and with a moderate to high topsoil COLE (coefficient of linear extensibility), macroporosity increased as a result of soil drying with an increase in infiltration rate and a decrease in the runoff coefficient. The structural condition of the soil was mainly regulated by the temperature prior to the sampling period, improving with higher temperatures. This improvement in structural stability favored soil infiltration during some stages of crop rotation. Our results show that the most critical period of this Argiudoll to generate runoff in this region is from mid fall to early spring, when soils are wetter and colder and thus have less structural stability and lower macroporosity, which will result in lower infiltration rates and increased runoff. Winter cover crops is a management practice that would decrease runoff in that period.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The study was supported by UBACyT project number 20020130100670BA.

REFERENCES

- Blake, G. R. and K. H. Hartge. 1986. Bulk density. pp. 363-375. *In:* A. Klute (ed.). Methods of soil analysis. Part 1. Physical and mineralogical methods. Series Agronomy. ASA, Inc., SSSA, Inc. publisher. Madison, WI, USA. doi: 10.2136/ sssabookser5.1.2ed.c13.
- Blanco-Canqui, H., R. Lal, W. M. Post, R. C. Izaurralde, and L. B. Owens. 2006. Corn stover impacts on near-surface soil properties of no-till corn in Ohio. Soil Sci. Soc. Am. J. 70: 266-78. doi:10.2136/sssaj2005.0137.
- Bodner, G., W. Loiskandl, G. Buchan, and H. P. Kaul. 2008. Natural and management induced dynamics of hydraulic conductivity along a cover-cropped field slope. Geoderma 146: 317-325. doi: 10.1016/j.geoderma.2008.06.012.
- Bodner, G., P. Scholl, W. Loiskandl, and H. P. Kaul. 2013a. Environmental and management influences on temporal variability of near saturated soil hydraulic properties. Geoderma 204-205: 120-129. doi: 10.1016/j.geoderma.2013.04.015.
- Bodner, G., P. Scholl, and H. P. Kaul. 2013b. Field quantification of wetting–drying cycles to predict temporal changes of soil pore size distribution. Soil Tillage Res. 133: 1-9. doi:10.1016/j. still.2013.05.006.
- Bormann, H. and K. Klaassen. 2008. Seasonal and land use dependent variability of soil hydraulic and soil hydrological properties of two Northern German soils. Geoderma 145: 295-302. doi: 10.1016/j.geoderma.2008.03.017.
- Bruand, A. and R. Prost. 1987. Effect of water content on the fabric of a soil material: An experimental approach. J. Soil Sci. 38: 461-472. doi: 10.1111/j.1365-2389.1987.tb02281.x.
- Capowiez, Y., S. Cadoux, P. Bouchant, S. Ruy, J. Roger Estrade, G. Richard, and H. Boizard. 2009. The effect of tillage type and cropping system on earthworm communities, macroporosity and water infiltration. Soil Tillage Res. 105: 209-216. doi: 10.1016/j.still.2009.09.002.
- Carof, M., S. Tourdonnet, and J. Roger-Estrade. 2005. Changes of structural porosity due to climatic and biological activities under no-till cropping systems with permanent living cover crops: Impacts on soil hydrodynamic properties. Poster. *In:* Proceeding of 3rd World Congress Conservation Agriculture. CD-ROM. Nairobi, Kenya. ISBN: 9966-7219-5-9.
- Castiglioni, M., A. Kemerer, M. Sasal, M. Wilson, J. Oszust, E. Gabioud, and S. Vangeli. 2014. Análisis del comportamiento espacial de distintos atributos edáficos a dos profundidades. En Actas XXIV Congreso Argentino de la Ciencia del Suelo. Bahía Blanca, Argentina. ISBN: 978-987-24771-3-4.
- Chan, K. Y., D. P. Heenan, and R. Asley. 1994. Seasonal changes in surface aggregate stability under different tillage and crops. Soil Tillage Res. 28: 301-314. doi: 10.1016/0167-1987(94)90137-6.
- Chang, C. and C. W. Lindwall. 1992. Effects of tillage and crop rotation on physical properties of a loam soil. Soil Tillage Res. 22: 383-389. doi: 10.1016/0167-1987(92)90051-C.

- Cosentino, D., C. Chenu, and Y. Le Bissonnais. 2006. Aggregate stability and microbial community dynamics under dryingwetting cycles in a silt loam soil. Soil Biol. Biochem. 38: 2053-2062. doi: 10.1016/j.soilbio.2005.12.022.
- De Leenheer, L. and M. De Boodt. 1958. Determination of aggregate stability by the change in mean weight diameter. pp. 290-300. *In:* Proc. Inter. Symp. Soil Struct. Med. Landbouw, 24, Ghent, Belgium. doi: 10.1016/j.soilbio.2005.12.022.
- Denef, K., J. Six, H. Bossuyt, S. D. Frey, E. T. Elliott, R. Merckx, and K. Paustian. 2001. Influence of dry-wet cycles on the interrelationship between aggregate, particulate organic matter, and microbial community dynamics. Soil Biol. Biochem. 33: 1599-1611. doi: 10.1016/S0038-0717(01)00076-1.
- Derpsch, R., T. Friedrich, A. Kassam, and H. Li. 2010.Current status of adoption of no-till farming in the world and some of its main benefits. Int. J. Agric. Biol. Eng. 3: 1-26. doi: 10.3965/j.issn.1934-6344.2010.01.0-0.
- Di Rienzo, J. A., F. Casanoves, M. G. Balzarini, L. Gonzalez, M. Tablada, and C. W. Robledo. InfoStat versión 2015. Grupo InfoStat, FCA, Universidad Nacional de Córdoba, Argentina. URL http://www.infostat.com.ar.
- Fuentes, J. P., M. Flury, and D. F. Bezdicek. 2004. Hydraulic properties in a silt loam soil under natural prairie, conventional till and no-till. Soil Sci. Soc. Am. J. 68: 1679-1688. doi: 10.2136/sssaj2004.1679.
- Gardner, W. H. 1986. Water content. pp. 493-544. *In:* A. Klute (ed.). Methods of soil analysis. Part 1. Physical and mineralogical methods. Series Agronomy. ASA, Inc., SSSA, Inc. publisher. Madison, WI, USA. doi: 10.2136/sssabookser5.1.2ed.c21.
- Hall, A. J., C. M. Rebella, C. M. Ghersa, and J. P. Culot. 1992. Field-crop systems of the pampas. pp. 413-450. *In:* C. J. Pearson (ed.). Field crop ecosystems. Ecosystems of the world. Elsevier. Amsterdam, Netherlands.
- Irurtia, C. B. and R. Mon. 1994. Microsimulador de lluvia para determinar infiltración a campo. Publicación No. 176. Instituto de Suelos, CIRN INTA-Castelar. Buenos Aires, Argentina.
- Kabir, Z. and R. T. Koide. 2002. Effect of autumn and winter mycorrhizal cover crops on soil properties, nutrient uptake and yield of sweet corn in Pennsylvania, USA. Plant Soil. 238: 205-215. doi: 10.1023/A:1014408723664.
- Klute, A. 1986.Water retention: Laboratory methods. pp. 635-662. *In:* A. Klute (ed.). Methods of soil analysis. Part 1. Physical and mineralogical methods. Series Agronomy. ASA, Inc., SSSA, Inc. publisher. Madison, WI, USA. doi: 10.2136/ sssabookser5.1.2ed.c26.
- Lin, H., K. J. McInnes, L. P. Wilding, and C. T. Hallmark. 1998. Macroporosity and initial moisture effects on infiltration rates in Vertisols and vertic intergrades. Soil Sci. 163: 2-8. doi: 10.1097/00010694-199801000-00002.
- Liu, A., B. L. Ma, and A. A. Bomke. 2005. Effects of cover crops on soil aggregate stability, total organic carbon, and polysaccharides. Soil Sci. Soc. Am. J. 69: 2041-2048. doi: 10.2136/sssaj2005.0032.
- Perfect, E., B. D. Kay, W. K. P. van Loon, R. W. Sheard, and T. Pojasok. 1990. Factors influencing soil structural stability within a growing season. Soil Sci. Soc. Am. J. 54: 173-179. doi: 10.2136/sssaj1990.03615995005400010027x.
- Phogat, V. K. and L. A. G. Aylmore. 1989. Evaluation of soil structure by using computer-assisted tomography. Aust. J. Soil Res. 27: 313-323. doi: 10.1071/SR9890313.

- Pires, L. F., K. Reichardt, M. Cooper, F. A. M. Cássaro, N. M. P. Dias, and O. O. S. Bacchi. 2009. Pore system changes of damaged Brazilian oxisols and nitosols induced by wet-dry cycles as seen in 2-D micromorphologic image analysis. An. Acad. Bras. Cienc. 81: 151-161. doi: 10.1590/S0001-37652009000100016.
- Plan Mapa de Suelos. 1998. Carta de suelos de la República Argentina. Departamento Paraná, Provincia de Entre Ríos. Acuerdo complementario del Convenio INTA-Gob. De ER. INTA EEA Paraná. Serie Relevamiento Recursos Naturales Nº 17. Argentina.
- Sarmah, A. K., U. Pillai-McGarry, and D. McGarry. 1996. Repair of the structure of a compacted Vertisol via wet/dry cycles. Soil Tillage Res. 38: 17-33. doi: 10.1016/0167-1987(96)01018-5.
- Schwen, A., G. Bodner, P. Scholl, G. D. Buchan, and W. Loiskandl. 2011. Temporal dynamics of soil hydraulic properties and the water-conducting porosity under different tillage. Soil Tillage Res. 113: 89-98. doi: 10.1016/j.still.2011.02.005.
- Seguel, O. and R. Horn. 2006. Structure properties and pore dynamics in aggregate beds due to wetting and drying cycles. J. Plant Nutr. Soil Sci. 169: 221-232. doi: 10.1002/ jpln.200521854.

- Soil Survey Staff. 1997. National soil survey handbook. Title 430-VI. USDA-NRCS. U.S. Government Printing Office. Washington, DC, USA.
- Soil Survey Staff. 2010. Keys to soil taxonomy. USDA-NRCS. Washington, DC, USA. ISBN-13: 978-0160854279.
- Strudley, M. W., T. R. Green, and J. C. Ascough II. 2008. Tillage effects on soil hydraulic properties in space and time: State of the science. Soil Tillage Res. 99: 4-48. doi: 10.1016/j. still.2008.01.007.
- Taboada, M. A., O. A. Barbosa, and D. J. Cosentino. 2008. Null creation of air-filled structural pores by soil cracking and shrinkage in silty loamy soils. Soil Sci. 173: 130-142. 10.1097/ SS.0b013e31815d8e9d.
- Tuller, M., D. Or, and L. M. Dudley. 1999. Adsorption and capillary condensation in porous media: Liquid retention and interfacial configurations in angular pores. Water Resour. Res. 35: 1949-1964. doi: 10.1029/1999WR900098.
- Zhou, X., H. S. Lin, and E. A. White. 2008. Surface soil hydraulic properties in four soil series under different land uses and their temporal changes. Catena 73: 180-188. doi: 10.1016/j. catena.2007.09.009.